

Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 227 (2003) 45-48



www.elsevier.com/locate/colsurfa

Recovery of TiO₂ nanoparticles synthesized in reverse micelles by antisolvent CO₂

Dongxia Liu, Jianling Zhang, Buxing Han*, Jing Chen, Zhonghao Li, Dong Shen, Guanying Yang

Centre for Molecular Science, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

Received 21 February 2003; accepted 1 July 2003

Abstract

The possibility to recover the titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanoparticles synthesized in sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate (AOT) reverse micelles by compressed CO₂ was investigated. UV-Vis study showed that TiO_2 nanoparticles could be deposited from the reverse micelles by the dissolved CO₂. The nanoparticles were prepared and characterized by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The results showed that the TiO_2 nanoparticles of very small size could be obtained. The effect of the operation conditions on the particle size is discussed.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nanoparticles; Titanium dioxide; Antisolvent; CO2; Reverse micelles

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), a traditional material, is widely utilized in ceramic materials as a white pigment, enamel, and membrane [1,2]. Nano-sized TiO₂ particles have recently been researched because they have excellent physical, chemical and opto-electrical properties [3–5]. To date, many efforts have been made to prepare TiO₂ nanoparticles, including the plasma process [6], sol–gel processing technique [7,8], synthesis in supercritical fluids [9–11], and reverse micellar method [12–14]. The reverse micellar method is one of the most preferred candidates. In this method, recovery of the nanoparticles from the reverse micelles is one of the key steps. The conventional recovery

E-mail address: hanbx@iccas.ac.cn (B. Han).

It is well known that compressed CO_2 can dissolve in many organic solvents, which results in considerable change in the solvent power of the solvents. Thus, the property of the liquid solvent can be tuned by pressure because the solubility of CO_2 in the solvent is a function of pressure, and the separation of the gas and the liquid solvent can be achieved easily by depression. In recent years, utilization of this principle has been widely explored in various areas, such as extraction and fractionation [18,19], recrystallization of chemicals [20–22], and micronization [23,24]. Recently, we proposed a new method to recover ZnS

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-10-62562821; fax: +86-10-62559373.

methods include flocculation [15], evaporation [16], or adding certain chemical reagent to cause phase separation [17], which precipitate the surfactant simultaneously. The post-process is troublesome because the products contain a large amount of surfactant.

^{0927-7757/\$ –} see front matter @ 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0927-7757(03)00385-6

nanoparticles synthesized in AOT reverse micelles by dissolving compressed CO₂ into the reverse micellar solution [25].

In this work, we investigated the possibility to recover the TiO₂ nanoparticles from the reverse micelles using CO₂ as antisolvent. The present work consisted of three related parts: (1) studying the related phase behaviour, which was the basis of this work; (2) probing the possibility of recovering TiO₂ nanoparticles from the water/AOT/isooctane reverse micelles by compressed CO₂; (3) investigating the effects of the experimental conditions on the size of the recovered TiO₂ nanoparticles. The results demonstrated that this method has some potential advantages for preparing TiO₂ nanoparticles, such as simple, timesaving and the solution can be recycled.

The apparatus used to determine the phase behaviour was the same as that used previously [26]. The volume expansion coefficient $\Delta V = (V - V_0)/V_0$ (*V* and V_0 are respectively the volumes of the CO₂-expanded and the CO₂-free solutions) of the micellar solution ([AOT] = 100 mmol/l) were determined at 303.2 K, and the results are demonstrated in Fig. 1. As can be seen, the volume expansion coefficient increases with increasing pressure because more CO₂ is dissolved in the solution at higher pressure. The volume expansion coefficient is nearly independent of w_0 . The volume expansion curves allows us to determine how much CO₂-free reverse micellar solution should be loaded into the sample cell in the UV investigation and nanoparticle recovery processes. As

Fig. 1. Effect of pressure on volume expansion coefficients of the reverse micellar solution ([AOT] = 100 mmol/l) with different w_0 at 303.2 K.

the pressure of CO₂ was high enough, the micellar solution became cloudy, indicating the precipitation of the surfactants from the micellar solution. The pressure at which AOT begins to precipitate from the solution is referred to as cloud pressure (P_c). The P_c of the reverse micellar solution ([AOT] = 100 mmol/l) determined at 303.2 K was 5.91 MPa. In this work, all the experiments were conducted at pressures lower than the P_c for TiO₂ nanoparticle recovery, so that the precipitation of the surfactant was not occurred.

The method to synthesize TiO₂ nanoparticles in the reverse micelles was similar to that reported by other authors [22]. Typically, the desired amount of pre-diluted (13 wt.%) titanium(IV) isopropoxide/ isopropanol solution was added to the water/AOT/isooctane reverse micelles under mild stirring. UV-Vis spectrum is commonly used method to characterize the TiO₂ nanoparticles in reverse micelles. In this work, the UV spectrophotometer (Model TU-1201) was used to examine the precipitation of TiO₂ particles from the reverse micelles at different CO₂ pressures. The high-pressure UV sample cell and the experimental procedures were similar to those used previously [25]. In the experiment, the UV cell was full of solution after expansion with CO₂. The concentration of TiO₂ in the solution was 0.022 mg/ml if precipitation did not occur. As examples, Fig. 2 shows the UV spectra of the reverse micellar solution containing TiO₂ particles at some pressures, and the water-to-AOT molar ratio in the solution (w_0) is 8. The characteristic absorbance around 272 nm is attributed to TiO₂ nanoparticles [12]. The nanoparticles in the reverse micellar solution do not precipitate



Fig. 2. UV spectra of TiO₂ in reverse micelles ([AOT] = $100 \text{ mmol/l}, w_0 = 8$) at 303.2 K and different pressures.

in the absence of CO_2 . However, the absorbance of the TiO_2 decreases progressively with the increasing CO_2 pressure, which can be seen clearly from Fig. 2. This indicates that the precipitation of TiO_2 from the reverse micelles can be realized by adding CO_2 , and more TiO_2 nanoparticles are precipitated at higher pressures.

On the basis of the investigations above, we selected some suitable experimental conditions to prepare TiO_2 nanoparticles using CO_2 as antisolvent. The known amount of reverse micellar solution with synthesized TiO₂ nanoparticles was added into the autoclave of 303.2 K. CO₂ was charged into the autoclave by a high-pressure pump until the desired pressure was reached. After 30 min, the stirrer was stopped to allow the precipitation of the TiO₂ particles. CO₂ was then released slowly. After the removal of the liquid solution, the deposits at the bottom of the autoclave were collected and washed several times using water and ethanol. The size and shape of the obtained TiO₂ par-



Fig. 3. TEM photographs of TiO₂ particles recovered from AOT reverse micelles ([AOT] = 100 mmol/l) by compressed CO₂ at different conditions: (a) [TIP] = 1.30 mg/ml, P = 5.41 MPa, $w_0 = 8$; (b) [TIP] = 0.86 mg/ml, P = 5.41 MPa, $w_0 = 8$; (c) [TIP] = 0.43 mg/ml, P = 5.41 MPa, $w_0 = 8$; (d) [TIP] = 0.86 mg/ml, P = 5.07 MPa, $w_0 = 8$; (e) [TIP] = 1.30 mg/ml, P = 5.41 MPa, $w_0 = 5$; (f) [TIP] = 1.30 mg/ml, P = 5.41 MPa, $w_0 = 2$.

ticles were determined by transmission electron microscope (TEM) with a HITACHI H-600A electron microscope. The TEM micrographs prepared at some typical conditions are presented in Fig. 3a-f. The results show that the TiO₂ particles prepared at all the conditions are very small.

The effect of the reactant concentration on the size of the TiO₂ nanoparticles can be seen in Fig. 3a-c. Evidently, the particle size decreases with the decreasing TIP concentration. The main reason is that the amount of TiO₂ in each micelle is smaller at the lower concentration, which is favourable to producing smaller particles. Fig. 3b and d compare the TiO₂ nanoparticles recovered at different CO₂ pressures. Size of particles recovered at 5.41 MPa is smaller and more uniform than that at 5.07 MPa. This may be due to the reduced viscosity and enhanced diffusivity of the CO₂-expanded solutions at higher pressure. Fig. 3a, e and f demonstrate the effect of w_0 on particle size. The particles recovered at $w_0 = 8$ and 5 are similar both in size and size distribution. The products obtained at $w_0 = 2$ contains very smaller particles, and the size of the larger ones are similar to those produced at $w_0 = 8$ and 5. The main reason is that the particles in the reverse micelles synthesized at $w_0 = 2$ are much smaller. Some of them aggregate to form the larger particles during the recovery process.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20133030) and Ministry of Science and Technology for the financial support (G2000078103).

References

 S. Vemury, S.E. Pratsinis, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 78 (1995) 2984.

- [2] T.A. Ring, Fundamentals of Ceramic Powder Processing and Synthesis, Academic Press, San Diego, 1996.
- [3] Y. Paz, Z. Luo, L. Rabenberg, A. Heller, J. Mater. Res. 10 (1995) 2842.
- [4] A. Corma, Chem. Rev. 97 (1997) 2373.
- [5] V. Swayambunathan, D. Hayes, K.H. Schmidt, Y.X. Liao, D. Meisel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 3831.
- [6] S.M. Oh, D.W. Park, Thin Solid Films 386 (2001) 233.
- [7] G.L. Messing, W.T. Minehan, J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn. 99 (1991) 1036.
- [8] R. van Grieken, J. Aguado, M.J. López-Muñoz, J. Marugán, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 148 (2002) 315.
- [9] K. Chhor, J.F. Bocquet, C. Pommier, Mater. Chem. Phys. 32 (1992) 249.
- [10] M.E. Tadros, C.L.J. Adkins, E.M. Russick, M.P. Youngman, J. Supercrit. Fluids 9 (1996) 172.
- [11] T.H. Kim, D.Y. Lim, B.S. Yu, J.H. Lee, M. Goto, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 39 (2000) 4702.
- [12] T. Hirai, H. Sato, I. Komasawa, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 32 (1993) 3014.
- [13] E. Stathatos, D. Tsiourvas, P. Lianos, Colloid Surf. A 149 (1999) 49.
- [14] H. Sakai, H. Kawahara, M. Shimazaki, M. Abe, Langmuir 14 (1998) 2208.
- [15] P.K. Dutta, M. Jakupca, K.S.N. Reddy, L. Salvatl, Nature 374 (1995) 44.
- [16] M.L. Steigerwald, A.P. Alivisatos, J.M. Gibson, T.D. Harris, R. Kortan, A.J. Muller, A.M. Thayer, T.M. Duncan, D.C. Douglass, L.E. Brus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 3046.
- [17] D.H. Chen, S.H. Wu, Chem. Mater. 12 (2000) 1354.
- [18] M.A. Winters, D.Z. Frankel, P.G. Debenedetti, J. Carey, M. Devaney, T.M. Przybycien, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 62 (1999) 247.
- [19] F. Favari, A. Bertucco, N. Elvassore, M. Fermeglia, Chem. Eng. Sci. 55 (2000) 2379.
- [20] D. Li, Z.M. Liu, G.Y. Yang, B.X. Han, H.K. Yan, Polymer 41 (2000) 5707.
- [21] M. Muller, U. Meier, A. Kessler, M. Mazzotti, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 39 (2000) 2260.
- [22] M. Yoshida, M. Lal, N. Deepak Kumar, P.N. Prasad, J. Mater. Sci. 32 (1997) 4047.
- [23] E. Reverchon, J. Supercrit. Fluids 15 (1999) 1.
- [24] P. Chattopadhyay, R.B. Gupta, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 39 (2000) 2281.
- [25] J.L. Zhang, B.X. Han, J.C. Liu, X.G. Zhang, J. He, Z.M. Liu, Chem. Commun. (2001) 2724.
- [26] H.F. Zhang, Z.M. Liu, B.X. Han, J. Supercrit. Fluids 18 (2000) 185.